No. 9(1)81-8 Lab/9397.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Elofic Industries (India), 11/7 Mathura Road, Faridabad.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI HARI SINGH KAUSHIK, PRESIDING OFFICER LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD
Reference No. 109 of 1981

Between

SHRI KUNJ BEHARI, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ELOFIC INDUSTRIES, (INDIA) 11/7 MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD.

Present:--

Shri Bhim Singh Yaday, for the workman. Shri K. P. Aggarwal, for the management.

AWARD

This reference No. 109 of 1981 has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his Order No. ID/FD/30-81/10121. dated 3rd March, 1981 under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Kunj Bihari, workman and the management of M/s. Elofic Industries (India) 11/7 Mathura Road, Faridabad. The term of the reference was:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Kunj Bihari, was justified and in order?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were sent to the parties. The parties appeared. But on 14th July, 1981, the date fixed in the case, the representative of the workman stated that he has no instructions from the workman and he did not want to proceed further in the case. I, therefore, give my award that there is no dispute between the parties at present as the representative for the workman did not want to proceed further with the case. No order as to costs.

Dated the 4th August, 1981

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endst. No. 2457, dated 10th August, 1981

Forwarded, (four copies) to the Commissioner and secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, with the request that the receipt of the above said award may please be acknowledged within week's time.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Faridabad.

The 28th August, 1981

No. 9(1)81-8Lab/9548.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award, of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of (i) Chief Engineer Panipat Tharmal Plant, Haryana State Electricity Board, Panipat (ii) Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle, Tharmal Plant, Haryana Electricity Board, Panipat.

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAI, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 63 of 1980

between

SHRI ISHWAR DUTT, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF (I) CHIEF ENGINEER PANIPAT THARMAL PLANT, HARYANA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, PANIPAT (II) SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, OPERATION CIRCLE, THARMAL PLANT, HARYANA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, PANIPAT.

Present,-

Shri Karam, Singh for the workman. Shri S. S. Sirohi, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/KNL/104-80/57692, dated 24th November, 1980, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. (i) Chief Engineer Panipat Thermal Plant Haryana State Electricity Board, Panipat (ii) Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle, Tharmal Plant, Haryana State Electricity Board, Panipat and its workman Shri Ishwar Dutt, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ishwar Dutt was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties, issues were framed and the case was fixed for the evidence of the management. But on 27th July, 1981 a settlement was arrived at between the parties. The representative for the management stated that the workman has been taken on duty in accordance with the compromise in the civil suit. The unemployment period has been treated as leave of the kind due. And the workman shall be allowed all the benefits of the previous service as admissible under the rules. The representative for the workman also agreed to the statement of the representative for the management.

In view of the statements given by the parties, I give my award that the workman has been taken on duty and his period of unemployment has been treated as leave of the kind due and will be allowed all the benefits of the previous service as admissible under the rules.

M. C. BHARDWAJ.

Dated the 10th August, 1981.

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,

Faridabad.

No. 753, dated tae 12th August, 1981.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment pepartments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ.

- T

Fresiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 9 (1)81-8 Lah/9552.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Northern Mineral Pvt. Ltd., Daultabad Road Gurgaon.

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 123 of 1981

between

THE WORKMAN; AND THE MANAGEMENT OF 'M/S NORTHERN MINERAL PVT. LTD., DOLTABAD ROAD, GURGAON

Present .-

None, for the workman. None, for the management,

AWARD

By order No. ID/GGN/25-81/21490, dated 23rd April, 1981, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Northern Mineral Pvt. Ltd., Doltabad Road Gurgaon and its workman to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947;—

Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of annual increment? If so, with what details?

On receipt of the order of reference, notice were issued to the parties. The parties did not appear despite service and the case was ordered to be dismissed in default. I, therefore, dismiss the case in default of the parties.

Dated the 10th August, 1981.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 749, dated 12th August, 1981.

Porwarded (four oppies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Charligath, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 9(1)-81-8Lab./9555.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s A. K. Lal Contractor, Tractor Transport Eicher Ltd., Faridabad. (ii) Eicher Ltd., Faridabad.—

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 98 of 1981

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S A. K. LAL CONTRACTOR, TRACTOR TRANSPORT EICHER LTD., FARIDABAD, (II) FICHER LTD., FARIDABAD

Present:-

None for the workmen,

None for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID FD/145-80/17726, dated 31st March, 1981, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s A. K Lai Contractor Tractor Eicher Ltd., Faridabad. (ii) Eicher Ltd., Faridabad and its workman, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

- 1. Whether the tractor drivers should be insured under General Insurance Policy? If so, with what details?
- 2. Whether the tractor drivers should be paid driving allowance? If so, with what details?
- 3. Whether the tractor drivers are entitled to the grant of return journey fare? If so, with what details?
- 4. Whether the tractor drivers should be paid daily allowance in case of delay intractor delivery? If so, with what details?
- 5. Whether the tractor drivers who fell sick during the transit period that'd be companied towards medical expenses? If so, with what details?
- 6. Whether the tractor drivers should be suitably compensated when the tractors are sent by towing another tractor? If so, with what details?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The process Server made a report that the parties refused to take summons. In these circumstances, the case was ordered to be dismissed for non-prosecution by the parties. I, therefore, dismiss the case for non-prosecution by the parties.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Dated the 11th August, 1981.

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad,

Endst. No. 745, dated the 12th August, 1981

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad,

No. 9(1)81-8Lab/9556.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s J. D. Gandhi Contractor Transport Eicher Ltd., Faridabad, (ii) Eicher Ltd., Faridabad:—

BEFORE SHRI M.C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 97 of 1981

between

THE WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S J. D. GANDHI CONTRACTOR TRACTOR TRANSPORT EICHER LTD., FARIDABAD (II) EICHER LTD., FARIDABAD

Present :--

None for the workman.

None for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/143-80/17714, dated 31st March, 1931, the Governor of Haryana referred the following disputes between the management of M/s J. D. Gandhi Contractor Tractor Transport Eicher Ltd., Faridabad (ii) Eicher Ltd., Faridabad and its workmen, to this Tribunal for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

- 1. Whether the tractor drivers should be insured under General Insurance Policy? If so, with what dotails?
- 2. Whether the tractor drivers should be paid driving allowance? If so, to with what 1 details?
- 3. Whether the tractor drivers are entitled to the grant of return journey fare? If so, with what details?
- 4. Whether the tractor drivers should be paid daily allowance in case of delay in tractor delivery? If so, with what dotails?
- 5. Whether the tractor drivers who fell sick during the transit period should be compensated towards medical expenses? If so, with what details?

ilia...

6. Whether the tractor drivers should be suitably compensated when the tractors are sent by towing another tractor? If so, with what details?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The Process Server made a report that the parties refused to take summons and the parties did not appear on the date fixed. In these circumstances, the case was ordered to be dismissed for non-prosecution by the parties. I, therefore, dismiss the case for non-prosecution by the parties.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Dated the 11th August, 1981.

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 744, dated the 12th August, 1981.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour & Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 9(1)81-8Lab/9557. In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Sarwar Singh Nazar. Contractor, Tractor Transport Eicher Ltd., Faridabad, (ii) Eicher Ltd., Faridabad:—

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 99 of 1981

betw.en

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. SARWAR SINGH NAZAR, CONTRACTOR, TRACTOR TRANSPORT EIGHER LTD., FARIDABAD (II), EICHER LTD., FARIDABAD

Present .-

None, for the workmen.

. 4 .

None, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/14)-80/17738, dated 31st March, 19% the Governor of Haryana referred the following disputes between the management of M/s Sarwar Singh Nazar. Contractor, Tractor Transport Eicher Ltd., Faridabad (ii) Eicher Ltd., Faridabad, and its workmen to this Tribunal for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :--

- 1. Whether the tractor drivers should be insured under General Insurence Policy? If so, with what details?
- Whether the tractor drivers should be paid driving allowance? If so, with what 2. details?
- Whether the tractor drivers are entitled to the grant of return journey fare? If so, with what details?

- 4. Whether the tractor drivers should be paid daily allowance in case of delay in tractor delivery? If so, with what details?
- 5. Whether the tractor drivers who fell sick during the transit period should be compensated towards medical expenses? If so, with what details?
- 6. Whether the tractor drivers should be suitably compensated when the tractors are sent by towing another tractor? If so, with what details?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The Process Server made a report that the parties refused to take summons and the parties did not appear on the date fixed. In these circumstances, the case was ordered to be dismissed for non-prosecution by the parties. I, therefore, dismiss the case for non-prosecution by the parties.

Dated the 11th August, 1981.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 743, dated the 12th August, 1981.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary, to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT

The 24th August, 1981

No. 14382/SE/PW/PH.—Whereas it appears to the Governor Haryana, that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government of Haryana at public expenses, for a public purpose, namely, for the erection of water reservoir, etc. for Canal based water supply at Ambala City, district Ambala, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers, for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen, to enter upon and servey any land in the locality and do all other acts acquired or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may, within thirty days of the publication of this notification, file an objection in writing, before the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P.W.D., Public Health Department, Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATIONS

| District | . Tehsil | Village/ Locality H. B. No. | Khasra No. | Area in |
|----------|----------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Ambala | Ambala | Singhawala, | 3/2 | K. M. 0—11 |
| | | H. B. No. 57 | 3 | 002 |
| | | | Min 8/1 | 003 |
| | | • | Min 8/2 Min 9/1 | 209 108 |
| | | | Min 9/2 | 1—08 |
| | | | | 6-01 |

(Sd). . . .,
Superintending Engineer,
Ambala Circle, P.W.D., (P. H.),
Ambala.